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Abstract

Objective: The purpose of this paper is to review the experience with the frameless, anchored, GyneFix®, copper-releasing intrauterine contraceptive devices (IUCD/IUD), and to demonstrate their high acceptability and low rate of discontinuation of use which could contribute to current efforts that aim to radically reduce the high number of unintended pregnancies and induced abortions, particularly in young women.

Materials and Methods: The paper is based on studies that examined the differences in uterine volume and cavity size, related to age and parity, and on original clinical research data and practical experience with frameless copper intrauterine devices, as well as on literature data on the IUD-endometrial cavity relationship of conventional IUDs, with special reference to side effects and user discontinuation.

Results: The mean transverse diameter in nulliparous and parous women is significantly less than the length of the transverse arm of the TCu380A IUD (ParaGard®) or the levonorgestrel intrauterine system (LNG-IUS) (Mirena®). Small, frameless, flexible and unidimensional copper IUDs appear to be well tolerated, with less impact on menstrual bleeding, resulting in low discontinuation rates when compared with standard size conventional IUDs which often result in increased expulsion rates, complaints of pain and erratic or increased menstrual bleeding and subsequent high rates of discontinuation, particularly in young women.
Discussion and Conclusion: The unidimensional GyneFix® IUDs fit the majority of uterine cavities. An IUD that fits is likely to result in increased tolerance and continued use of the method. As this would appeal to women, the logical result should be a greater use of the method and less unintended pregnancies and induced abortions. Recommending the standard TCu380A (ParaGard) IUD or the Mirena LNG-IUS, primarily developed for use in parous women, for general use in nulliparous and adolescent women should be done with caution in the light of current scientific evidence, except if 3-D sonography indicates that the uterine cavity is sufficiently large.
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Introduction

Increasing use of long-acting reversible contraception (LARC) as a strategy to prevent unintended pregnancy was the subject of a recent publication. The article reviews the LARC methods and expresses the need to increase the use of these methods in an attempt to reduce the global ‘epidemic’ of unintended pregnancies, particularly in young women. The United States has the highest teen pregnancy rate in the industrialized world. The Center for Disease Control states that one-third of girls are pregnant before the age of 20. Teenpregnancy.org, a site managed by the National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy, states: “there are 750,000 teen pregnancies annually. Eight in ten of these pregnancies are unintended and 81 percent are to unmarried teens”. The IUCD occupies a prominent role in reducing unintended pregnancy rates. They have a higher continuation of use than implants and depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DPMA). The IUD is also an excellent candidate for use immediately following induced first trimester abortion, resulting in significantly less repeat abortions. In addition, copper IUDs are more effective than emergency contraceptive pills, providing long-term protection simultaneously and they are more cost-effective than any other method.

As IUDs are strategically important at preventing unintended pregnancy, they can only contribute in reducing unintended pregnancy if women or couples continue to use the method. The Mirena and ParaGard intrauterine devices are the only two IUDs currently available in the United States. As uterine cavities differ considerably in size and shape, and the uterus is subject to changes in size and volume during the menstrual cycle (see below and ref. 12), one
standard-sized IUD will not fit in uterine cavities that differ in size and volume from woman to woman and from time to time in the same woman (e.g., following birth, the presence of fibroids). Clinical experience shows that geometric incompatibility between the rigid or semi-rigid IUD and the uterine cavity can lead to partial or total expulsion, embedment and perforation of the uterine wall, pain, unintended pregnancy, and abnormal or heavy uterine bleeding resulting in removal of the device. Studies of the uterine cavity, conducted several decades ago, suggested that individual variation in uterine cavity sizes are comparable with the individual variations in size and shape of feet. This paper examines the performance of frameless devices in parous and nulliparous women. An IUD that fits like a shoe may be helpful to significantly contribute to current efforts to reduce the number of unintended pregnancies, particularly in young nulliparous and adolescent women.

Materials and methods

Two main areas are examined in this paper; the first is the size of the uterine body as well as its cavity according to age and parity, and the second, the clinical evaluation of the frameless IUDs particularly related to the discontinuation rates for medical reasons. Of the few publications that could be found in the literature on the direct measurement of the size of the uterine cavity, according to age and parity, and volume of the uterus according to age and parity, only those publications which seemed relevant were selected (see below).

Results

Uterine volume

Da Costa et al. conducted a study in 828 women and girls between 10 to 40 years old using transabdominal ultrasonography. Women were divided into two groups: group 1 consisted of 477 (57.6%) adolescents and group 2 comprised 351 (42.3%) women 20 to 40 years old. Uterine volume increased with the presence of menarche, age, and parity (p < 0.05). Nulliparous and primiparous adolescents younger than 18 years old had a smaller uterine volume, 41.3 ± 17.9 and 51.6 ± 19.7 cm³, respectively, than nulliparous and primiparous women 20 to 40 years old (p < 0.001). The red square in Fig. 1 focuses on the 15-20 year old adolescents.
**Figure. 1.** The mean value in nulliparous and primiparous girls between 15 and 20 years is ~40-50 cm³. The red rectangle shows that many adolescents have a small uterus (adapted from AG Da Costa¹¹).

**Uterine cavity – Importance of the cavity width**

In depth studies have been conducted, using measuring devices inserted in the uterus, by Kurz and Hasson (see below) and by Benacerraf and Shipp, using 3-D sonography. Kurz measured the uterine width in parous and nulliparous women. Fig. 2 shows the instrument used by Kurz for measuring the fundal transverse diameter *in vivo*.

**Figure. 2.** Illustration how the fundal transverse diameter was measured with a specially designed instrument (Cavimeter) in a hysterectomy specimen (Courtesy of KH Kurz⁸).

The mean width of the uterine cavity at the fundal level (fundal transverse diameter) in 795 nulliparous and parous women between 15 and 40 years of age was ~24 to 26 mm (Table 1).⁸

**Table 1.** Fundal transverse diameter (mm) according to age and parity (Kurz Cavimetric measurements⁸).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>15-19</th>
<th>20-24</th>
<th>25-29</th>
<th>30-34</th>
<th>35-39</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>24.8 ± 2.5</td>
<td>23.9 ± 3.0</td>
<td>24.8 ± 3.2</td>
<td>24.7 ± 3.3</td>
<td>24.9 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of women</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parity</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.1(+)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3(+)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>23.1 ± 3.1</td>
<td>23.8 ± 3.3</td>
<td>24.5 ± 3.0</td>
<td>25.7 ± 3.5</td>
<td>26.0 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of women</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*0.1(+) = no parity, one abortion or more.

In order to optimize the spatial compatibility between the standard T-shaped IUD and the uterine cavity, Kurz adapted T-shaped IUDs, following measurement of the transverse
diameter, prior to inserting the IUD. The transverse arm of a T-shaped IUD was shortened from its standard length of 32 mm to the individually measured transverse diameter or slightly less (Fig. 3). He found that the fundal transverse dimension is of paramount importance with respect to IUD acceptance as women tolerated the IUD much better.

![Image](image1.png)

**Figure 3.** Adapted T-shape IUD with transverse arm of 20 mm in hysterectomy specimen Courtesy of KH Kurz8).

Similar studies were conducted by Hasson in the early 1980 with the use of his “Wing Sound”.7 According to Hasson, “the optimum geometric relationship of a properly inserted IUD is one in which the greatest transverse dimension of the IUD is equal or slightly in excess of the fundal transverse dimension (Fig. 4).

![Image](image2.png)

**Figure 4.** Geometric relation of a properly inserted IUD to endometrial cavities with various inappropriate fundal transverse dimension: a) Fundal transverse dimension significantly smaller than the length of the transverse arm of the IUD; b) Fundal transverse dimension significantly greater (initial position of the IUD); c) Fundal transverse dimension significantly greater (possible subsequent position of the IUD)(Adapted from Hasson7).

These geometric relationships promote IUD retention and stability while minimizing endometrial/myometrial trauma. On the other hand, Hasson noted that “IUDs of which the transverse arm is significantly greater or smaller than the fundal transverse diameter have unfavorable geometric relationships with the uterine cavity”.
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Furthermore, Hasson found that the uterine shape and dimensions during the different phases of the menstrual cycle modulate the relationship between the IUD and the host endometrial cavity. Other authors found that uterine contraction frequency shows an increase during the follicular phase followed by a period of uterine quiescence during the luteal phase.\textsuperscript{12} If these contractions are severe, they can compress, distort, displace and expel the IUD, particularly if the IUD is too big and is not capable of adaptive changes.\textsuperscript{13} The length of the IUD does not seem to be important clinically, unless there is a great difference between cavity length and the length of the stem of the IUD. The performance between standard-length IUDs and short length IUDs in nulliparous women appears similar.\textsuperscript{14} Canteiro et al. concluded that the development of an IUD with a shorter length of the stem appears unnecessary since current models fit most women, including nulligravid women.\textsuperscript{15} Although these “cavimetric” studies may have had some limitations, the conclusions were confirmed in recent 3-D ultrasound studies.\textsuperscript{16} Also Shipp et al. studied the width of the normal uterine cavity and assessed the relationship of this width with parity, gravidity, age and uterine volume.\textsuperscript{17} Fig. 5 shows a 3-D view of the uterine cavity. Table 2 shows the mean fundal transverse diameter in nulliparous women, with mean age of 29 years, and in parous women.

**Figure 5.** 3-D coronal view of the uterine cavity demonstrating the measurement of the fundal transverse dimension (19.0 mm).

**Table 2.** Fundal transverse diameter (mm) according to gravidity/parity (3-D measurements, adapted from Shipp et al.\textsuperscript{17}).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parity [n (%)]</th>
<th>0 (n=91)</th>
<th>1 (n=38)</th>
<th>&gt; 1 (n=81)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>91 (100.00)</td>
<td>18 (47.3)</td>
<td>3 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 (52.6)</td>
<td>9 (11.1)</td>
<td>69 (85.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean transverse (range)</td>
<td>27.1 (20.2-34.1)</td>
<td>29.6 (22.9-36.3)</td>
<td>31.1 (24.6-37.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean volume (SD)*</td>
<td>55.3 (25.7)</td>
<td>66.4 (29.2)</td>
<td>103.1 (33.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The width of the uterine cavity corresponds strongly with the overall uterine volume.
Experience with the copper-releasing frameless GyneFix IUDs

Only studies with the original frameless Cu-Fix or GyneFix IUD are described in this section.\(^1\) Table 3 provides the main events and cumulative discontinuation rates in both randomized and non-randomized comparative clinical trials. Further information about these studies is given below.

**Table 3.** Events and cumulative life-table discontinuation rates with GyneFix 330 and GyneFix 200 IUDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Copper surface area (mm²)</th>
<th>No of women</th>
<th>% Nulligravid/Nulliparous</th>
<th>Pregnancy</th>
<th>Expulsion</th>
<th>Removal rate for bleeding/pain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOLLOW-UP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERVAL/POST-ABORTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildemeersch et al ([18])</td>
<td>GF390 – 18 months Interval</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Kets et al ([19])</td>
<td>GF330 – 36 months Interval</td>
<td>1039</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al ([20])*</td>
<td>GF330 – 36 months Interval</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0*</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cao et al ([21])</td>
<td>GF200 – 60 months Interval</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batár et al ([23])</td>
<td>GF330 – 12 months Post-abortal up to 10 weeks</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gbolade ([24])</td>
<td>GF330 – 1 follow-up in 30 women Post-abortal up to 13 weeks</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3 removals for bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez et al (GESEG) ([25])*</td>
<td>GF330 – 12 months Interval</td>
<td>1684</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.6*</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Majority of investigators were not trained.

\(^1\) Studies conducted by the World Health Organization with the frameless Flexigard copper IUD (a frameless variant of GyneFix) will not be discussed in this section as these studies were not conducted with the device and inserter that was originally developed by the inventor and which was approved for marketing in the European Union (See Commentary in ref. 20).
The first frameless IUD had an effective copper surface area of 390 mm². The *International Study Group on Intrauterine Drug Delivery* of the Ghent University, Belgium, initiated a pilot study some 25 years ago with this device, named Cu-Fix as the copper is anchored to the uterine fundus. The Cu-Fix 390 was inserted by six investigators in 382 women and 4851 woman-months of experience were accumulated after 18 months. Close to 40% of the devices were inserted in nulligravid/nulliparous women.\(^{18}\)

Later, an international multicenter study was set up by the study group in 11 centers, involving 20 investigators. Minor improvements were made both to the device and the inserter prior to the study. The effective surface area of the IUD used in this study was 330 mm². Insertions were performed in 1039 women, of whom 27% were nulligravid. The subjects were followed for 36 months, generating close to 20,000 woman-months of experience.\(^{19}\)

In another 3-year randomized comparative study, the GyneFix 330 IUD was compared with the TCu380A IUD (ParaGard) in six centers in China. Approximately 300 women in each treatment arm were enrolled, and only parous women were included in the study\(^ {21}\). It is noteworthy that investigators in this study had also participated in a WHO study using the frameless Flexigard IUD (see footnote). In this study the prototype Flexigard inserter was used. Due to the shortcomings of the applicator as well as the IUD itself, many providers experienced failed insertions which were much less frequent with the improved GyneFix inserter.

Two additional studies were conducted to evaluate the efficacy of a “mini” version of the frameless intrauterine system with copper surface area of 200 mm². The small GyneFix version consists of four copper cylinders instead of six and is only 2 cm long. A total of 392 insertions were performed in an open non-randomized study in parous (76%) and nulligravid/nulliparous (24%) women in Belgium and China. Women were followed-up for a minimum of 3 years, up to 5 years.\(^ {22}\) In addition, a menstrual blood loss study was conducted in 60 parous and nulliparous GyneFix 200 users using a pictorial visual assessment chart.\(^ {23}\) The results of this study are briefly discussed in the Discussion section.

The GyneFix 330 IUD was also tested for immediate post-abortal application up to 10 weeks gestation. Initially 112 insertions were performed in an international multicenter trial with follow-up from 1 to 38 months.\(^ {24}\) The trial was later extended to other centers.\(^ {25}\) The findings (e.g., absence of expulsion) are not discussed here but the cardinal event rates and acceptability of the IUD were similar to those obtained in the earlier studies (data on file).

A working group of Spanish professionals (GESEG), formed specifically with the aim to study the frameless IUD, conducted a prospective, multicenter, observational study of GyneFix in 1684 women.\(^ {26}\) The study focused on difficulties encountered during the insertion procedure and symptoms experienced during insertion. Women were followed up for 12 to 24 months. 18.6% of the women were nulliparous. The results of this study are further discussed below.
Discussion

The clinical trials covered in this paper represent 3563 insertions in total, of which 832 were conducted at interval in nulligravid and nulliparous women. The initial clinical trials were conducted with the GyneFix 330 IUD. More recently the GyneFix 200 was preferred for use in all nulliparous women. A description of the GyneFix 200 used in clinical trials is provided in Fig. 6.

**Figure 6.** GyneFix 200, real size (left); in situ in foam uterus (middle), compared with the frameless FibroPlant-LNG which is derived from the frameless copper-releasing IUD (right). The GyneFix 200 IUD, as used in the clinical trials, consists of 4 copper sleeves (instead of 6 with the longer GyneFix 330 IUD), each 5 mm in length and approximately 2.2 mm in diameter. The 4 copper sleeves are threaded on the polypropylene suture thread and the uppermost and lowest sleeves are crimped onto it. A single knot is made in the upper portion of the thread which serves as a small retention body when inserted in the myometrium of the uterine fundus at a controlled depth of 1.0 cm. The minimum effective life of the GyneFix 200 IUD is 5 years. The FibroPlant LNG-IUS is not discussed in this paper.

Since its inception, minor improvements to the anchoring knot have been made. All GyneFix 390 and 330 studies were conducted with an anchoring knot which was tied using a 00-gauge suture. The GyneFix 200 was provided with a 0-gauge suture. With this suture the anchoring knot is slightly thicker but the main advantage is that the anchoring knots are consistent in all manufactured devices, resulting in significant better retention. Further, it was thought that by making the anchor visible on sonography, the safety of the technique would be improved and more IUD providers would be inclined to learn the anchoring technique. An ultrasound check could especially be indicated if the provider has some doubt about the insertion. Fig. 7 shows how the “visualization” was realized. All frameless devices are currently provided with the improved anchoring knot and with the visualization element.
Long-acting reversible contraceptive or LARC methods (IUDs and implants) are many times more effective in preventing unintended pregnancy than methods that depend on user adherence.\textsuperscript{28,29} Even if the contraceptive pill, patch or vaginal contraceptive ring is provided free of charge, discontinuations at one year are in the order of 50% only, or less.\textsuperscript{30} In the United States (US), the proportion of contraceptors (primarily IUDs) using LARC increased significantly from 2.4% in 2002 to 3.7% in 2007 and 8.5% in 2009.\textsuperscript{31} In Europe approximately 10% use LARC.\textsuperscript{32} According to the contraceptive CHOICE project, women express a significant interest in LARC. In the CHOICE cohort, most subjects aged 18 years and older select intrauterine contraception (~70%) while most of the 14-17 years-old subjects prefer the implant (63%).\textsuperscript{33,34}

A study conducted in the United Kingdom showed that a high proportion of practitioners (80.2\%) endorse the role of LARC in preventing teenage pregnancy, but fewer than half (47.1\%) see them as becoming popular. Lack of skill in providing the method was seen by 60.6\% as a barrier to provision of long-acting methods of contraception. Half of respondents (50.3\%) thought that irregular bleeding would deter women from using implants and injectable contraceptives and 20.6\% were concerned about high discontinuation rates. Misconceptions about side effects of contraceptive methods were common.\textsuperscript{35}

LARC methods offer huge advantages to prevent unintended pregnancy since women only need a yearly check following the first follow-up after fitting. Imperfect contraceptive adherence leads to substantial unintended pregnancies and high, avoidable costs. Among the reproductive health professionals, some conclude that the contraceptive model should be changed by making LARC the default option\textsuperscript{36} as improved uptake of LARC may significantly diminish the number of unintended pregnancies and induced abortions and

**Figure 7.** The current IUD is provided with a “visualized” anchor. The anchor with visualization element (magnification x 2.5) consists of the anchoring knot and a tiny medical grade stainless steel element (AISI 316L/1.4404) (2 mm long and 0.5 mm in diameter) (left). 2-D and 3-D ultrasound showing the properly located anchor (arrow) as well as the frameless IUD in the uterine cavity of a young woman (middle 2-D and right 3-D).
generate health care cost savings by reducing contraceptive non-adherence. A recent ACOG Opinion paper encourages the use of LARC methods (IUDs and implants).

In the recent review of these methods, Blumenthal et al. concludes that LARC methods are safe and effective and are appropriate for a wide range of women. However, based on a safety analysis of the 11 international clinical trials with Implanon, including findings from 942 women followed for 1–5 years, the review reveals that the overall continuation rate was only 32.7%, the most commonly reported reasons for discontinuation being bleeding irregularities and other hormone-related side effects (e.g., headache, weight increase, acne, breast pain and emotional liability).

The TCu380A IUD (ParaGard) and the LNG-IUS (Mirena), the only IUDs available in the US, have the advantage that they have a higher continuation of use than implants. Copper IUDs are non-hormonal and the systemic side effects of the LNG-IUS are minor. They are, therefore, proposed as an appropriate method for use by young women and adolescents in the US and elsewhere. In-depth analysis, however, shows that cumulative copper IUD discontinuation rates for various reasons (mostly bleeding and pain) are one in four to one in two over 5 years of use with significantly higher rates in adolescent and young nulliparous women. Hubacher’s review of copper IUDs revealed that nulliparous women experience higher rates of expulsion and removals for bleeding and/or pain compared with parous women. Higher pain and expulsion rates were also found in studies with the LNG-IUS (Mirena) conducted in nulliparous and adolescent women.

Similarly, heavy menses and dysmenorrhea are the most frequent reasons for removal of the TCu380A (ParaGard) in the first year after insertion. Adolescent mothers do not perform better as shown in a recent study with ParaGard and Mirena conducted by Teal et al. The twelve-month continuation rate was only 55%; most reasons for removal were expulsion (14.2%), pain (12.2%), bleeding (7.4%). The pregnancy rate was 4.7%. According to the authors, there was no difference between the IUD type.

Benacerraf, Shipp and others, including the Ghent Study Group, conducted sonographic studies in symptomatic women using various copper and LNG-releasing IUDs. They compared women with abnormally and those with normally located IUDs with respect to their indication for sonography and found that the proportion of patients whose principal indication for sonography was bleeding, pain or bleeding and pain were significantly greater in those with an abnormally located IUD, including imbedded IUDs, compared with those whose IUD was not located abnormally on 3-D ultrasonography. It was noted that standard 2-D ultrasound is not able to detect many abnormally located IUDs particularly with regard to abnormal location of the sidearms of the IUD. This was confirmed in 2-D and 3-D ultrasonography studies conducted by the authors of the present paper (unpublished).

The mean transverse dimensions in nulliparous and primiparous women given in Table 2 are slightly higher than those measured by Kurz using his Cavimeter. This could be attributed to the significantly older mean age of women (29 years) and the smaller number of participants, 129 vs. 720, respectively. These transverse dimensions are far less than the length of the transverse arm of the ParaGard IUD and Mirena LNG-IUS which is 32 mm with both devices.
resulting in distortion, displacement, and expulsion of the IUD, as demonstrated in this review.

Benacerraf et al. produced an informative slide set, available via the internet (http://ebookbrowse.com/benacerraf-3d-in-gynecology-pdf-d263544493) showing various illustrations of abnormally located IUDs, comparing 2-D with 3-D sonography. Figure 8 shows some examples of embedded IUDs and Figs. 9 and 10 the limited value of 2-D ultrasonography in determining the position of the transverse arm of the IUD.

**Figure 8.** Abnormally located ParaGard IUD causing bleeding and pain (left) (Courtesy of Benacerraf) and middle (Mirena). Even if the IUD is apparently located in the correct position, the too long transverse arm can cause painful contractions (right). The fundal transverse dimension in this case is only 2 cm.

**Figure 9.** Position of the stem of the ParaGard IUD showing slight downward displacement (left). The arms of the IUD are unfolded and penetrate the muscular wall as the uterus is too small (right). Although not measured, the fundal transverse diameter appears less than 2 cm (Courtesy of Benacerraf).
Figure 10. Another example of position of the stem of the Nova-T IUD showing slight downward displacement (left). The arms of the IUD are unfolded and penetrate the cornua of the uterus (middle: 3-D and right: hysteroscopy picture). The fundal transverse diameter is only 22.55 mm.

To treat women with side effects, one of the co-authors removes the IUD/IUS and trims the transverse arm. An example of a “trimmed” Mirena IUS is shown in Fig. 11.

Figure 11. Mirena: shortened transverse arm after removal for complaints and re-insertion under strict sterile conditions.

The results of the studies reported in the present paper suggest that the frameless GyneFix IUD is effective and well tolerated resulting in low rates of discontinuation for medical reasons. The strength of the technology is that after a period of 25 years, the same conclusions can be made as those made following the initial trials during the early 1990s: “…being well tolerated, the device is retained well by the uterus and is both effective and safe. The design is extremely simple and insertion and retrieval is easy”.19 The frameless copper IUD has only one dimension and, explains therefore its adaptation in cavities of every size and shape. These characteristics of precision intrauterine contraception are thought to be responsible for the low rate of side effects and consequently high user continuation. These features do not allow the uterus to exert expulsive forces on the IUD, in contrast with conventional IUDs.

As the smaller 200 mm² version has a similar efficacy as the 330 mm² earlier version, and does not significantly increase menstrual blood loss, it was thought that it would be more suitable for adolescent and young nulliparous women. The high effective surface area, significantly higher than that of conventional copper IUD with nominal surface area of 200 mm², allows a reduction in the overall surface area of the IUD.54
The most common reason for discontinuing the use of copper IUDs is increased menstrual blood loss (MBL). The magnitude of this increase is mainly related to the size of the device. With larger types of non-medicated IUD, such as the Lippes Loop, monthly blood loss is about 70 to 80 mL, which is approximately double that of normal menses. The amount of excess bleeding is less (50-60 mL) with smaller copper devices, such as the copper T series. Clinical trials suggest that MBL with the GyneFix 330 IUD is less than that associated with the TCu380A (ParaGard). With the small GyneFix 200 IUD, studies using a pictorial visual assessment technique suggest no significant increase in MBL after the first few months. This is attributed to the small size of the GyneFix 200 IUD. The copper surface area does not seem to have an effect on menstrual blood loss. This finding was erroneously reported in other studies. Fig. 12 illustrates the position of the frameless and flexible IUD in the uterine cavity as well as the dimensional compatibility even if the fundal transverse diameter is sometimes extremely small as in young nulliparous and adolescent women.

Downward displacement and partial expulsion, a consequence of spatial discrepancy between the IUD and the uterine cavity leading to unintended pregnancies can be avoided by anchoring the IUD.

Removal for abnormal bleeding and pain complaints have been low in the studies reported in this paper, particularly with the smaller version (<1/100 women per year at 3 years). Consequently, high continuation rates were recorded with the GyneFix 200 IUD at three years post-insertion (over 90%), and continuation rates remained high during the subsequent years. This is in contrast with ParaGard and Mirena which have low continuation rates in the order of 50%, or less, at 5 years.
As the frameless technology is new, familiarity with the insertion procedure may be acquired only after a number of insertions have been completed, depending on the skill of the provider. Experience has shown that insertion failures and expulsions, in parous as well as nulliparous women, can be minimized to very low rates if providers follow a training course organized by the manufacturer. It is noteworthy that systematic training was not conducted in earlier studies, including in the GESEG study. Consequently, higher expulsion rates were noted when insertion training was inadequate or lacking in two of the studies. With GyneFix 200, providers were properly trained and training has been further improved by the provision of a home uterine trainer (HUT, see below).

Individual training is essential to learn the details to properly insert anchored IUDs and will result in optimal performance and high continuation of use. Following training, providers can improve their skills and create confidence in the anchoring technique by using the “home” uterine model (HUT®, Fig. 13) before they start insertions in their patients. Unfortunately this highly useful training material was only available in recent years and this is one of the weaknesses that resulted in a number of failed insertions and early expulsions in two studies reported in this paper.

Figure 13. Home Uterine Trainer (HUT®) suitable for home-training of the frameless IUD and IUS insertion technique.

Providing comfort at insertion is another important aspect of intrauterine contraception. Cervical preparation with misoprostol should probably be proposed, especially in some women with difficult intrauterine insertion such as a nulliparous patient or a patient with a stenotic cervical os, as recommended by Vickery and Madden.64 This is also the approach of the first author although data from clinical studies show that routine use of misoprostol before IUD insertion is not beneficial.

One limitation is the relatively few studies conducted with the small GyneFix 200 IUD in nulliparous women. However, the anchoring technique is similar in all current anchored, as well as those in development, providing long-term experience. In general, younger and older women appreciate the comfort and peace of mind provided by the frameless IUD. Reports on severe adverse events (e.g., perforation, PID) are rare which may be due to compulsory
training required by the manufacturer prior to perform actual insertion in their patients. Additional studies are required in US women, particularly insertion-related studies, before the FDA may provide market authorization.

Conclusion. Anchored, frameless IUDs have significant advantages over framed IUDs as they fit in cavities of every size and shape. Moreover, one size fits virtually all cavities. They can therefore be named “Precision Intrauterine Contraceptives”. Many unintended pregnancies and induced abortions could be avoided in young women by providing suitable intrauterine devices that result in a high continuation of use. In addition, if properly inserted, failed insertions and expulsion of the IUD are rare.

Recommending the standard TCu380A (ParaGard) IUD or the Mirena LNG-IUS, primarily developed for use in parous women, for general use in nulliparous and adolescent women should be done with caution in the light of current scientific evidence, except if 3-D ultrasonography shows that the uterine cavity is sufficiently large.

Announcement. A PDF copy of each of the older studies reported in this paper can be obtained from the first author.
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